

**1. FUNCTIONALITY EVALUATION - PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RELATING TO CIVIL ENGINEERING PROJECTS : NLM/TS/PSPCE/2021/22/1**

<b>EVALUATION CRITERIA</b>			
<b>CRITERIA</b>	<b>POINTS</b>	<b>VALUE</b>	<b>SCORE</b>
<p><b><u>EXPERIENCE OF THE BUSINESS ENTITY</u></b></p> <p>Experience of the company in the successful completion of <b>municipal</b> civil engineering capital projects in the past five (5) years. Referral Letters and appointment letters for each project completed must be submitted. Similar projects are those that include the initiation, concept, design development, design documentation, Works, and close-out, with a total project value of at least R 4 million, and a fee income of at least R 0.8 million.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Five or more completed projects, or</li> <li>• Four completed projects, or</li> <li>• Three completed projects</li> </ul>	<p>5 Points</p> <p>4 Points</p> <p>3 Points</p>	<p>Max 8</p>	<p><b>40 Points</b></p> <p><b>Max</b></p>
<p><b><u>EXPERTISE</u></b></p> <p>Qualifications and professional registration for the key personnel</p> <p><b>1.</b> The <b>Project Leader</b> will be a registered Professional Engineer or Professional Engineering Technologist with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Less than 10 years post-qualification experience</li> <li>(ii) More than 10 years post-qualification experience</li> </ul> <p><b>and</b></p> <p><b>2.</b> The <b>Design Engineer</b> will be a registered Professional Engineer or Professional Engineering Technologist with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Less than 10 years post-qualification experience</li> <li>(ii) More than 10 years post-qualification experience</li> </ul> <p><b>and</b></p> <p><b>3.</b> The <b>Resident Engineer</b> will be a registered Professional Engineer or Professional Engineering Technologist with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Less than 10 years post-qualification experience</li> <li>(ii) More than 10 years post-qualification experience</li> </ul>	<p>1 Point</p> <p>3 Points</p> <p>1 Point</p> <p>2 Points</p> <p>1 Point</p> <p>3 Points</p>	<p>Max 5</p>	<p><b>40 Points</b></p> <p><b>Max</b></p>
<p><b><u>APPROACH PAPER (20 POINTS MAX)</u></b></p> <p>The value added by the tenderer in delivering the service will be evaluated i.e. the answer to the question as to why the Employer will derive better value for money by contracting with the tenderer rather than with any other tenderer.</p> <p>Examples of value add may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- institutional memory which can be used to underpin the service;</li> <li>- availability to engage with the Employer on specific issues</li> <li>- office in the vicinity where the works are required</li> <li>- insights gained from previous work of a similar nature;</li> <li>- approaches to the proposed service and the countering of perceived risks;</li> <li>- access to specialist expertise;</li> <li>- internal quality control systems;</li> <li>- previous or current work related to the required service;</li> <li>- depth of in-house expertise apart from that residing in the personnel listed above, under EXPERTISE;</li> </ul>			<p><b>20 Points</b></p> <p><b>Max</b></p>



<p><b>APPROACH PAPER (20 POINTS MAX)</b></p> <p>The value added by the tenderer in delivering the service will be evaluated i.e. the answer to the question as to why the Employer will derive better value for money by contracting with the tenderer rather than with any other tenderer.</p> <p>Examples of value add may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- institutional memory which can be used to underpin the service;</li> <li>- availability to engage with the Employer on specific issues</li> <li>- office in the vicinity where the works are required</li> <li>- insights gained from previous work of a similar nature;</li> <li>- approaches to the proposed service and the countering of perceived risks;</li> <li>- access to specialist expertise;</li> <li>- internal quality control systems;</li> <li>- previous or current work related to the required service;</li> <li>- depth of in-house expertise apart from that residing in the personnel listed above, under EXPERTISE;</li> </ul> <p>The tenderer should specifically outline the value add with respect to the entity's portfolio of work and past experience in delivering building projects</p> <p>The tenderer must briefly outline the value add offered in not more than six pages and attach this to his tender submission. The tenderer should also state what value add other staff members will provide to the service <u>and refrain from submitting generic company literature.</u></p> <p>The scoring of the tenderer's value added will be as follows:</p> <p><b>Each evaluation criteria will be assessed in terms of five indicators – no response, poor, satisfactory, good and very good. Scores of 0, 40, 70, 90 or 100 will be allocated to no response, poor, satisfactory, good and very good, respectively. The scores of each of the evaluators will be averaged, weighted and then totalled to obtain the final score for quality.</b></p>	<p>20 Points Max</p>
--	--------------------------

**3. FUNCTIONALITY EVALUATION - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS :  
NLM/TS/PSPEMS/2021/22/3**

<b>EVALUATION CRITERIA</b>			
<b>CRITERIA</b>	<b>POINTS</b>	<b>VALUE</b>	<b>SCORE</b>
<p><b>EXPERIENCE OF THE BUSINESS ENTITY</b></p> <p>Experience of the company in the successful completion of municipal engineering capital projects in the past five (5) years. Referral Letters and appointment letters for each project completed must be submitted. Similar projects are those that include the primarily, the application and attainment of the EIA, the provision of Environmental Control Officer (ECO) services, and the application and attainment of a Water Use License.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Five or more completed projects, or</li> <li>• Four completed projects, or</li> <li>• Three completed projects</li> </ul>	<p>5 Points 4 Points 3 Points</p>	<p>Max 8</p>	<p><b>40 Points Max</b></p>

<p><b>EXPERTISE</b> Qualifications and professional registration for the key personnel.</p> <p>1. Qualified and EAPASA registered <b>Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP)</b> Must have led and successfully completed at least 3 similar projects:</p> <p>i) More than 10 years post-qualification experience ii) Less than 10 years post-qualification experience</p> <p><b>And</b></p> <p>2. Qualified &amp; Registered <b>Professional Geo-Environmental/Equivalent Engineer/Technologist</b> with relevant experience as broken down in the functionality.</p> <p>i) Professional Registration of 5 years and over ii) Professional Registration of 4 years or less</p> <p><b>and</b></p> <p>3. Qualified <b>Environmental Control Officer</b> with relevant experience as broken down in the functionality. ECO must be qualified with a degree in Environmental Management or Environmental Science</p> <p>i) Professional Registration of 5 years and over ii) Professional Registration of 4 years or less</p>	<p>3 Points 2 Points</p> <p>2 Points 1 Points</p> <p>2 Points 1 Point</p>	<p>Max 5</p>	<p><b>40 Points Max</b></p>
<p><b>APPROACH PAPER (20 POINTS MAX)</b> The value added by the tenderer in delivering the service will be evaluated i.e. the answer to the question as to why the Employer will derive better value for money by contracting with the tenderer rather than with any other tenderer.</p> <p>Examples of value add may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- institutional memory which can be used to underpin the service;</li> <li>- availability to engage with the Employer on specific issues</li> <li>- office in the vicinity where the works are required</li> <li>- insights gained from previous work of a similar nature;</li> <li>- approaches to the proposed service and the countering of perceived risks;</li> <li>- access to specialist expertise;</li> <li>- internal quality control systems;</li> <li>- previous or current work related to the required service;</li> <li>- depth of in-house expertise apart from that residing in the personnel listed above, under EXPERTISE;</li> </ul> <p>The tenderer should specifically outline the value add with respect to the entity's portfolio of work and past experience in the field of environmental consultancy services, with particular reference to municipal infrastructure projects</p> <p>The tenderer must briefly outline the value add offered in not more than six pages and attach this to his tender submission. The tenderer should also state what value add other staff members will provide to the service <u>and refrain from submitting generic company literature.</u></p> <p>The scoring of the tenderer's value added will be as follows:</p> <p><b>Each evaluation criteria will be assessed in terms of five indicators – no response, poor, satisfactory, good and very good. Scores of 0, 40, 70, 90 or 100 will be allocated to no response, poor, satisfactory, good and very good, respectively. The scores of each of the evaluators will be averaged, weighted and then totalled to obtain the final score for quality.</b></p>			<p><b>20 Points Max</b></p>

**4. FUNCTIONALITY EVALUATION - HEALTH AND SAFETY MANAGEMENT CONSULTANTS:  
NLM/TS/PSPOHS/2021/22/4**

<b>EVALUATION CRITERIA</b>			
<b>CRITERIA</b>	<b>POINTS</b>	<b>VALUE</b>	<b>SCORE</b>
<p><b><u>EXPERIENCE OF THE BUSINESS ENTITY</u></b> Experience of the company in successfully rendering Safety, Health, and Environmental (SHE) management services during the implementation of municipal engineering capital projects in the past five (5) years. Referral Letters and appointment letters for each project completed must be submitted</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Five or more completed projects, or</li> <li>• Four completed projects, or</li> <li>• Three completed projects</li> </ul>	<p>5 Points 4 Points 3 Points</p>	<p>Max 8</p>	<p><b>40 Points Max</b></p>
<p><b><u>EXPERTISE</u></b> Qualifications and professional registration for the key personnel.</p> <p><b>i) <u>SACPCMP registered SHE Agent</u></b> with relevant experience as a SHE Agent:</p> <p><b>ii) More than 05 years post-registration experience</b></p> <p><b>iii) Less than 05 years post-registration experience</b></p> <p><b><u>and</u></b></p> <p><b>i) <u>SACPCMP registered Safety Officer</u></b> with relevant experience as a Safety Officer.</p> <p><b>ii) Professional Registration of 5 years and over</b></p> <p><b>iii) Professional Registration of less than 4 years</b></p>	<p>4 Points 3 Points</p> <p>4 Point 2 Points</p>	<p>Max 5</p>	<p><b>40 Points Max</b></p>
<p><b><u>APPROACH PAPER (20 POINTS MAX)</u></b> The value added by the tenderer in delivering the service will be evaluated i.e. the answer to the question as to why the Employer will derive better value for money by contracting with the tenderer rather than with any other tenderer.</p> <p>Examples of value add may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- institutional memory which can be used to underpin the service;</li> <li>- availability to engage with the Employer on specific issues</li> <li>- office in the vicinity where the works are required</li> <li>- insights gained from previous work of a similar nature;</li> <li>- approaches to the proposed service and the countering of perceived risks;</li> <li>- access to specialist expertise;</li> <li>- internal quality control systems;</li> <li>- previous or current work related to the required service;</li> <li>- depth of in-house expertise apart from that residing in the personnel listed above, under EXPERTISE;</li> </ul> <p>The tenderer should specifically outline the value add with respect to the entity's portfolio of work and past experience in the field of health &amp; safety consultancy services, with particular reference to municipal infrastructure projects.</p> <p>The tenderer must briefly outline the value add offered in not more than six pages and attach this to his tender submission. The tenderer should also state what value add other staff members will provide to the service <u>and refrain from submitting generic company literature.</u></p> <p>The scoring of the tenderer's value added will be as follows:</p>			<p><b>20 Points Max</b></p>

<p>Each evaluation criteria will be assessed in terms of five indicators – no response, poor, satisfactory, good and very good. Scores of 0, 40, 70, 90 or 100 will be allocated to no response, poor, satisfactory, good and very good, respectively. The scores of each of the evaluators will be averaged, weighted and then totalled to obtain the final score for quality.</p>	
---	--